arXiv:1303.2329v2 [math.NT] 26 Mar 2013

New Results for Sorli's Conjecture on Odd Perfect Numbers - Part II

Jose Arnaldo B. Dris
Far Eastern University
Manila, Philippines
jabdris@yahoo.com.ph

March 27, 2013

Abstract

If $N = q^k n^2$ is an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form, then Sorli's conjecture predicts that $k = \nu_q(N) = 1$. In this article, we give some further results related to this conjecture and those contained in the papers [3] and [4].

1 Introduction

If $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then we denote the sum of the divisors of N by $\sigma(N)$. The positive integer N is said to be *perfect* if $\sigma(N) = 2N$. It is currently an open problem to determine whether there are infinitely many even perfect numbers, or if there are any odd perfect numbers. Ochem and Rao recently obtained the lower bound $N > 10^{1500}$ for an odd perfect number's magnitude, and a lower bound of 10^{62} for its largest component (i.e., divisor $p^a || N$ with p prime). This improves on previous results by Brent, Cohen and te Riele [1] in 1991 and Cohen [2] in 1987, respectively.

An odd perfect number $N = q^k n^2$ is said to be given in Eulerian form if q is prime with $q \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and $\gcd(q, n) = 1$. (The number q is called the *Euler prime*, while the component q^k is referred to as the *Euler factor*. Note that, since q is prime and $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then $q \geq 5$.)

In his Ph. D. thesis, Sorli [6] conjectured that $k = \nu_q(N) = 1$.

The author conjectured in [3] that the components q^k and n are related by the inequality $q^k < n$.

We denote the abundancy index I of the positive integer x as $I(x) = \dfrac{\sigma(x)}{x}$.

2 Some New Results Related to Sorli's Conjecture

The author gave a condition equivalent to the inequality $\dfrac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \dfrac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}$ in [4]. We reproduce the said theorem here.

Theorem 2.1. *Let N be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. The following inequalities are equivalent:*

1.
$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}$$

2.
$$\frac{q^k}{n} + \frac{n}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)}$$

Remark 2.1. Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. It can be shown that $\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{q^k}{n} + \frac{n}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}.$$

Likewise, we can show that $\frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n}$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)} < \frac{q^k}{n} + \frac{n}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}.$$

In the conclusion to the paper [4], it was hinted that an improved upper bound for $I(n)$ will be considered a (major) breakthrough.

To this end, we assume that Sorli's conjecture is true in order to get the following (partial) result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. If $k = 1$, then either $I(n) < \frac{9}{5}$ or $q \geq 13$.*

Proof. Suppose $N = q^k n^2$ is an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form, and assume that $k = 1$.

We claim that $I(n^2) \neq \frac{9}{5}$. If $I(n^2) = \frac{9}{5}$, then since $k = 1$,

$$\frac{10}{9} = \frac{2}{I(n^2)} = I(q^k) = I(q) = 1 + \frac{1}{q}$$

which implies that $q = 9$, contradicting the fact that q is prime. Hence, we either have $I(n) < I(n^2) < \frac{9}{5}$, or $I(n^2) > \frac{9}{5}$.

In the latter case, we have $\frac{2}{I(q)} = I(n^2) > \frac{9}{5}$, which gives $1 + \frac{1}{q} < \frac{10}{9}$. Consequently, $q > 9$, and hence, $q \geq 13$ since q is prime with $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. $\square$

We also get the following unconditional results (i.e., without assuming Sorli's conjecture).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form.*

1. *If* $\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}$*, then* $\frac{q^k}{n} < \sqrt{2}$.
2. *If* $\frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n}$*, then* $\sqrt[4]{\frac{128}{125}} < \frac{q^k}{n}$.

Proof. The proof of both propositions follows from observing that

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} = \left(\frac{q^k}{n}\right) I(q^k),$$

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} = \left(\frac{n}{q^k}\right) I(n),$$

and $1 < I(q^k) < \frac{5}{4} < \sqrt{\frac{8}{5}} < I(n) < 2$ (see [3]). □

Remark 2.2. Since $N > 10^{1500}$ by [5] and $q^k < n^2$ by [3], if $n < q^k$, we easily obtain the lower bounds $n > 10^{375}$ and $q^k > 10^{500}$. Similarly, if $q^k < n$, we get $n > 10^{500}$. However, under the latter case, we still could not completely rule out $q = 5$, $k = 1$.

Remark 2.3. From the inequalities $I(q^k) < I(n)$ and $\dfrac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \dfrac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}$, it is trivial to derive $\sigma(q^k) < \sigma(n)$. Consequently, if $n < q^k$, we have the chains $n < q^k < \sigma(q^k) < \sigma(n)$ and

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} < \frac{n}{q^k} < 1 < \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{q^k}{n} + \frac{n}{q^k}\right) < \frac{q^k}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)}.$$

We also have

$$1 < I(q^k) = \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = I(n) < I(q^k)I(n) = I(q^k n) < 2,$$

a condition which is similar to that of Case II-A in [4].

3 Conclusion

In this article, we have given what appears to be a viable approach towards getting an improved upper bound for the abundancy index $I(n)$, if $N = q^k n^2$ is an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. This attempts to achieve the penultimate goal of completing the final link for proving the following biconditional:

$$q^k < n \Longleftrightarrow \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k},$$

as detailed out in the conclusion of the paper [4].

4 Acknowledgments

The author sincerely thanks the anonymous referees who have made several suggestions, which helped in improving the style of the paper.

References

[1] R. P. Brent, G. L. Cohen, H. J. J. te Riele, Improved techniques for lower bounds for odd perfect numbers, *Math. Comp.* **57** (1991), 857–868. Available at `http://oai.cwi.nl/oai/asset/1613/1613A.pdf`.

[2] G. L. Cohen, On the largest component of an odd perfect number, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **42** (1987), 280–286. Available at `http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S1446788700028251`.

[3] J. A. B. Dris, The Abundancy Index of Divisors of Odd Perfect Numbers, Journal of Integer Sequences **15** (2012), Article 12.4.4. Available at `https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL15/Dris/dris8.html`.

[4] J. A. B. Dris, New Results for Sorli's Conjecture on Odd Perfect Numbers, preprint. Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.5991`.

[5] P. Ochem and M. Rao, Odd perfect numbers are greater than 10^{1500}, *Math. Comp.* **81** (2012), 1869–1877. Available at `http://www.lirmm.fr/~ochem/opn/opn.pdf`.

[6] R. M. Sorli, Algorithms in the Study of Multiperfect and Odd Perfect Numbers, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Technology, Sydney, 2003. Available at `http://epress.lib.uts.edu.au/research/handle/2100/275`.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11A05; Secondary 11J25, 11J99.
Keywords: odd perfect number, Sorli's conjecture, Euler prime.
